

THE LAW FIRM OF THE FUTURE

The Rise of the Law Firm C-Suite: Importance of Data in Law Firm Management

July 20, 2023

by

Julie Boehme

Chief Operating Officer, Bernstein Shur

Rebecca Goodman-Stephens

Chief Executive Officer, Moses Singer LLP

David Schaefer

Managing Director, Calibrate

Uri Gutfreund

SVP and Managing Principal, Ames & Gough

John Remsen, Jr.

President, TheRemsenGroup

MPF WEBINAR

POWERED BY

TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm

TheRemsenGroup.com

MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future



The MPF Webinar Series: Building the Law Firm of the Future

The Rise of the Law Firm C-Suite: Importance of Data in Law Firm Management

An MPF Webinar with Julie Boehme, Rebecca Goodman-Stephens, and David Schaefer

July 19, 2023 – 2:00-3:00pm ET



Welcome



Uri Gutfreund

Senior Vice President and Managing Principal, Ames & Gough



John Remsen, Jr.

President and CEO, Managing Partner Forum
President, TheRemsenGroup



MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future



Managing Partner Forum®
ADVANCING THE BUSINESS OF LAW



Upcoming Programs in 2023

- MPF Webinar Series – Third Wednesdays @ 2pm ET
- The MPF Fall Symposium – October 5, 2023, in Chicago
- *The MPF Weekly* – Friday mornings
- MPF Website – www.ManagingPartnerForum.org



TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm



AMES & GOUGH



Managing Partner Forum®
ADVANCING THE BUSINESS OF LAW



Today's Webinar

- **The Rise of the Law Firm C-Suite: Importance of Data in Law Firm Management**
- 145 Managing Partners and Law Firm Leaders
- Registrant Survey Results and Audience Polling Questions
- Recording and Handout Materials
- Your Feedback on Today's Session



TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm



AMES & GOUGH



Today's Guests





Julie Boehme
Chief Operating Officer, Bernstein Shur



Rebecca Goodman-Stephens
Chief Executive Officer, Moses Singer LLP





David Schaefer
Managing Director, Calibrate








MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future








MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future













Managing Partner Forum®
ADVANCING THE BUSINESS OF LAW



CURRENT BENCHMARKING DATA





Special MPF Webinar

**Inside the Minds of
Today's Law Firm Leaders**

June 15, 2023 2:00-3:30pm ET




TheRemsenGroup




TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm




AMES & GOUGH









Today's Guests



Julie Boehme
Chief Operating Officer, Bernstein Shur



Rebecca Goodman-Stephens
Chief Executive Officer, Moses Singer LLP



David Schaefer
Managing Director, Calibrate

MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future



Managing Partner Forum®
ADVANCING THE BUSINESS OF LAW



Important Reminders

- Your Feedback on Today's Session
- On-Demand Recording and Handout Materials
- Next MPF Webinar – AI/ChatGPT – August 16, 2023



TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm



AMES & GOUGH



Managing Partner Forum®
ADVANCING THE BUSINESS OF LAW



Contact Us

Julie Boehme – 207.228.7220 – jboehme@bernsteinshur.com

Rebecca Goodman-Stephens – 212.554.7643 – rgoodman-stephens@mosessinger.com

David Schaefer – 914.715.0986 – david.schaefer@calibrate-strategies.com

Uri Gutfreund – 201.430.5827 – ugutfreund@amesgough.com

John Remsen, Jr. – 404.885.9100 – jremsen@theremsen.com



TheRemsenGroup
Smart Strategies for the Forward Thinking Law Firm



AMES & GOUGH

MPF Webinar Series: The Law Firm of the Future



The MPF Webinar Series: Building the Law Firm of the Future

The Rise of the Law Firm C-Suite: Importance of Data in Law Firm Management

An MPF Webinar with Julie Boehme, Rebecca Goodman-Stephens, and David Schaefer

July 19, 2023 – 2:00-3:00pm ET





With an Eye toward the Future...

Isn't It Time that Your Law Firm Develops a Strategic Plan?

By John Remsen, Jr.

Today's law firm can either take charge of its future or sit on the sidelines watching the marketplace change around it.

In corporate America, virtually every successful company has a strategic plan guiding its future. Banks won't lend money without one. Shareholders and venture capitalists demand them. It gives an organization a competitive advantage.

Ask just about any managing partner or firm administrator whether his or her law firm should have a strategic plan and almost all of them would say "yes." After all, without institutional direction, the law firm is little more than a collection of sole practitioners sharing office space...or a "hotel for lawyers," as our friend Bill Flannery likes to say. Yet, according to a recent survey, fewer than 5 percent of the law firms in the United States have such a plan in place.

Why then, do so few law firms have a strategic plan? And what does it take for a law firm to develop and implement one?

What Is a Strategic Plan?

In short, strategic planning is a *process*, the result of which is a written document that sets forth where an organization wants to go and how it will get there. Many experienced law firm marketers suggest that a law firm's strategic plan should consider a five-year horizon. It should, among other things, state how big the firm will be, where it will have office locations, what its major practice areas will be, and what its client base will look like.

Once the firm's partners reach consensus on these big-picture issues, the firm can develop its three-year goals and objectives and then determine the strategies and tactics to achieve them. Strategies and tactics are more short-term in nature. They should be specific, measurable and achievable within a year.

If strategic planning is so important, why do so few law firms have a plan? The reasons vary, but the following obstacles are most common.

Denial that it's no longer business as usual

Despite everything one reads in *The National Law Journal*, *The American Lawyer* and just about every other publication on the legal industry, there is a continuing denial among many attorneys that the *business* of lawyering has fundamentally changed. Times are good right now and, generally, firms are doing quite well. However, it is the firms that long ago recognized the value of planning that are emerging as the new leaders in the global marketplace for legal services.

Focus on the short term

Strategic planning looks at the future, while most law firms have a very short-term view of the world. Compensation systems often reward today's billable hour, with little reward for non-billable time invested in the firm's future. That's very dangerous—shortsighted, to say the least.

Law firms need to measure and reward those activities—firm governance, associate mentoring and training, and business development—that are necessary to insure the firm's long-term prosperity.

Difficulty Establishing Consensus among Partners

No doubt, lawyers like their autonomy and tend to resist institutionalization. Many firms like to refer to themselves (with pride) as very democratic institutions. They operate very much like a collection of sole practitioners sharing office space. They want to be left alone. On top of that, there are often very different philosophies among the firm's owners about the future of the firm. So it's easier not to talk about it.

Certainly, getting everyone to buy-in to a specific plan is challenging. There is a tendency among lawyers and law firms to want to be "all things to all people." In this type of culture, lawyers often resist any plan to move in a particular strategic direction and toward a more institutional way of thinking.

Lack of leadership

Inevitably, the strategic planning process leads to change. And change requires leadership. Often, firm leadership is simply not willing to make the tough (and not always popular) decisions necessary to make the firm a stronger,



■ John Remsen, Jr. is the principal of The Remsen Group, a marketing consulting firm that works exclusively with law firms. He is the past president of the South-eastern chapter of the Legal Marketing Association and served on its national board of directors. He can be reached at jremsen@theremsengroup.com.



more profitable institution in the long run. Managing partners and executive committees must realize that they can't please everybody.

Failure to implement

There are many firms that have made a half-hearted attempt at strategy planning and, for one reason or another, it has failed. The reasons are many and varied. Nobody seems to have the time to get things done. The plan exists, but it collects dust on a corner shelf. Inadequate resources were allocated to achieve the goals set forth in the plan. Or there was a lack of leadership. Or the plan was too ambitious. Or there was no accountability. And so on and so on.

The common refrain is, "We tried that, but it didn't work here." Chances are, the firm went through the motions, but there was never a genuine commitment to make implementation a priority. Without implementation, the planning process can be a frustrating waste of time, effort and money.

Keys to Successful Strategic Planning

Now that we've discussed why so many firms have not gotten around to developing a real strategic plan, let's examine the keys to making it happen.

Establish a sense of urgency

A sufficient number of lawyers in the firm must believe that it is no longer business as usual and that strategic direction is necessary if the firm is to survive and prosper in the years ahead. They must instill and constantly reinforce a sense of urgency that change is necessary.

Commitment from firm leadership

Firm leadership (or at least a critical majority) must have a genuine commitment to develop and implement a strategic plan. Without strong leadership and passionate commitment, it is still "business as usual," despite the rhetoric. Under these circumstances, the firm's efforts are doomed to failure.

Involve all partners in the process

At the end of the day, the owners of the firm must buy into and support the plan.

By involving each of them in the process through a series of one-on-one meetings and/or in a group brainstorming session, each partner will feel a part of the planning process. The likelihood of success jumps dramatically.

Associates and staff must also buy into the future of the firm. Special programs that enlist their support will add to the plan's successful implementation.

Keep the plan simple and focused

If the firm is developing its first strategic plan, it should keep the plan simple and focused. Most firms try to take on too much, too fast and wind up accomplishing little. With a realistic plan and by starting slowly, the firm is able to maintain its focus on the most important projects. The firm can always add to the plan later. A law firm is wise to start slow, publicize success and grow from there.

Create a plan that lives and breathes

Once a strategic plan is adopted, it does no good to set it aside, never to be looked at until the following year, if at all. The plan should be a flexible and dynamic instrument. Its principles should be incorporated into the firm's day-to-day operations. Firm leadership should communicate the goals and objectives of the plan often and in a variety of ways throughout the firm. Make sure everybody has a copy. Review it at internal meetings. Update it often. All important decisions should be considered in the context of the plan. If the firm makes decisions contrary to what is contained in the plan, it needs a new plan.

Establish accountability

Nothing happens without accountability. For most firms, this is best accomplished at monthly meetings of small groups (5-6 individuals) of attorneys, often organized by practice group. There must be a strong group leader and meetings should have an agenda and meeting notes. Assignments must be made and progress must be monitored.

Measure and reward desired behavior

Simply stated, the firm needs to measure

and reward desired behavior. If the firm wants its partners to spend time training younger associates, the investment of non-billable time in the firm's future must be measured and rewarded. If the firm determines that business development is important, it should reward it through recognition, origination credit, and/or by measuring and rewarding effort. Otherwise, behavior changes will not occur. Without incentives (or disincentives), it's business as usual and there is little change.

Does everybody have a role to play?

There is no right or wrong answer here, but the firm must determine up front if all of its attorneys have a role to play when it comes to investing in the firm's future. If so, what is the role of each attorney? What about associates? Is it the same for everybody or do we ask different attorneys to take on different responsibilities?

Making it happen

The strategic plan is not an end, in and of itself. It is a *process* through which a law firm contemplates its future and determines how it will allocate resources to take it where it wants to go.

Without implementation, a strategic plan is worthless. Planning should never replace and distract from the *doing* part of the equation. Implementation must be given the highest priority.

Conclusion

Many sole practitioners and attorneys at smaller firms seem to think that strategic planning is for larger firms. However, any firm with an eye toward the future can benefit from the process. Planning can help a firm develop consensus on key big-picture issues, promote internal communication within the firm, inspire attorneys to get out and do things they wouldn't otherwise do, and help the firm allocate its resources more effectively.

With leadership, commitment and a good strategic plan, any firm can develop a profitable practice working with clients it enjoys and in the areas of law it finds most appealing.



Rise of the Legal COO

Second Edition

Sample pages only

For information on how to buy the full printed or eBook version, please click here or email nicola@globelawandbusiness.com

EDITED BY KATERINA MENHENNET



Commissioning editor

Alex Davies

Managing director

Sian O'Neill

Rise of the Legal COO, second edition

is published by

Globe Law and Business Ltd
3 Mylor Close
Horsell
Woking
Surrey GU21 4DD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 3745 4770
www.globelawandbusiness.com

Rise of the Legal COO, second edition

ISBN 978-1-78742-946-8
EPUB ISBN 978-1-78742-947-5
Adobe PDF ISBN 978-1-78742-948-2

© 2023 Globe Law and Business Ltd except where otherwise indicated.

The right of the contributors to be identified as authors of this work has been asserted by them in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including photocopying, storing in any medium by electronic means or transmitting) without the written permission of the copyright owner, except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 or under terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency Ltd, 5th Floor, Shackleton House, 4 Battle Bridge Lane, London SE1 2HX, United Kingdom (www.cla.co.uk, email: licence@cla.co.uk). Applications for the copyright owner's written permission to reproduce any part of this publication should be addressed to the publisher.

DISCLAIMER

This publication is intended as a general guide only. The information and opinions which it contains are not intended to be a comprehensive study, or to provide legal advice, and should not be treated as a substitute for legal advice concerning particular situations. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any action based on the information provided. The publisher bears no responsibility for any errors or omissions contained herein.

Contents

Executive summary	ix
About the authors	xvii
Part 1: Role of the Legal COO	1
Chapter 1: Horses for courses – the spectrum of chief operating officer roles in law firms	3
<i>By Chris Bull, principal, Edge International</i>	
COO models	5
Model 1 – “Riding shotgun”	8
Model 2A and 2B – The chief finance and operating officer	9
Model 3 – The internal service and procurement head	10
Model 4 – The infrastructure head	11
Model 5 – The shared service head	13
Model 6 – The practice COO	14
Model 7 – The operational transformation leader	15
The corporate legal operations head	16
Conclusion	17
Chapter 2: Shaping change: the rise of the COO	19
<i>By Laura McNair, head of people and operations, Totum</i>	
Defining leadership – why COOs?	20
Makings of a modern COO	21
Stepping-stones – the business manager role	22
Structure and remit of the COO role	23
What makes a great COO?	25
Recruiting your COO	26
Into the future	27
Writing a COO job description	27

Chapter 3: The three flavors of law firm COO	29
<i>By Stephen Allen, chief scout, Trampelpfad, and Mark J. Masson, managing partner, Lotis Blue Consulting</i>	
Chief operating officers' changing roles,	
Role #1: "head of back office"	30
Role #2: "chief efficiency officer"	32
Role #3: the strategy driver	33
The empowered client	35
When to make a change	35
Where does a firm go from here?	36
 Chapter 4: The role of the chief operating officer in a law firm – post-pandemic	39
<i>By James G. Perkins, chief operating officer and chief compliance officer at Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP</i>	
Background	39
Pandemic preparation	40
The comforter, managing uncertainty, and a Black Swan event	41
Strategy and uncertainty	43
Concluding thoughts	47
 Chapter 5: Habits – are they your COO superpower or holding your firm back?	51
<i>By Paul Bennett, solicitor and partner, Bennett Briegal LLP</i>	
What is a habit?	51
Habits matter	51
Business habits	52
Your habits	54
What positive habits should you adopt to discharge your COO duties?	55
Conclusion	58
 Chapter 6: When a new firm leader takes the reins	59
<i>By Patrick J. McKenna, internationally recognized author, lecturer, strategist, and seasoned advisor to the leaders of premier law firms</i>	
1. Be clear on the new leader's specific goals	61
2. Be honest and candid in identifying those areas that the firm leader may regard as a weakness	61

3. Sort out the reporting relationships	61
4. Determine boundaries and division of responsibility	62
5. Identify expectations and the specific behaviors you want to see	62
6. Determine your preferred communications protocols	63
7. The COO needs to know how to best read and interact with the firm leader	63
8. Determine how you need to operate in order not to undermine each other	64
The bottom line	64
 Chapter 7: Factors that impede effective firm leader-COO relationships	 65
<i>By Patrick J. McKenna, internationally recognized author, lecturer, strategist, and seasoned advisor to the leaders of premier law firms</i>	
1. You need to have respect for one another	66
2. There should be role clarity	67
3. Have the ability to compromise	67
4. Provide candid feedback	68
5. Beware of the divide-and-conquer ploy	68
6. Poor performance can overwhelm any relationship	69
Conclusion	69
 Chapter 8: The COO role in managing profitability and client engagement	 71
<i>By Steve Nelson, executive principal, The McCormick Group</i>	
History	71
Distinction between internal and external responsibilities	73
Emphasis on profitability	75
Management of key categories	76
Impact of the pandemic	77
View of the future	78
 Chapter 9: Three roles the COO must play to enable innovation and digital transformation	 81
<i>By Kate Boyd, COO, Sente Advisors</i>	
Introduction	81
COO as culture keeper	81
COO as technical strategist	83

COO as metrics maven	85
Conclusion	87
Chapter 10: The chief operating officer and the use of data in law firm management	89
<i>By David S. Schaefer, managing director, Calibrate Consulting, Inc.</i>	
Maintaining competitive advantage in a digital market	89
The power of KPIs for law firms	91
What are the right number of KPIs?	93
Presentation of KPIs – dashboards and scorecards	94
Changes in KPIs	94
The need for accurate and clean data	95
Create a data-driven culture	95
How can you boost data literacy to support your KPI strategy?	96
The future of data analytics in the legal industry	97
Annex: list of possible KPIs and metrics	98
Chapter 11: The New Law COO	101
<i>By Kiran Scarr, counsel – transformation, Support Legal Limited</i>	
The emerging dominance of New Law	101
The business of New Law	104
The New Law COO	107
The leadership behaviors of a New Law COO	107
Collaboration for growth	111
Part 2: Interviews with Legal COOs	113
Interview 1: Peter Blair, chief operating officer of Quadrant Chambers	115
Interview 2: Kimberly A. Gardner, chief operating officer of Holland & Knight LLP	121
Interview 3: Ricarda Hauke, chief operating officer of Taylor Wessing Partnerschaftsgesellschaft mbB	131
Interview 4: Andrew Keith, chief operations officer of DAC Beachcroft LLP	135

Interview 5: Jennifer P. Keller, president and chief operating officer of Baker Donelson	139
Interview 6: Darren Mitchell, chief operating officer of Simmons & Simmons	145
Interview 7: William Robins, operations and compliance director, Keystone Law	151
About Globe Law and Business	159

Chapter 10:

The chief operating officer and the use of data in law firm management

By David S. Schaefer, managing director, Calibrate Consulting, Inc.

Maintaining competitive advantage in a digital market

In the business world today, it is axiomatic that data is essential to strategic planning and implementation, as well as making decisions on a whole host of operations. The legal industry is just starting to catch up. Lawyers and law firms have always used data in both the practice of and business of law, but until the last ten to 15 years, the use of data in the business of a law firm has largely been limited to billing and collection, realization of worked and billed time, and some very basic metrics and key performance indicators (KPIs) regarding revenue and profitability. In that sense, the legal industry has been very slow compared to most other industries in using data in support of strategic planning and business operations. However, a number of changes over the last decade and a half have resulted in the necessity of greater use of data to run a competitive and successful law firm.

Arguably, the most significant change during the past decade is the number of business professionals that have entered the legal industry. Relatively recently, law firms have hired chief operating officers (COOs) with experience and expertise gained from outside the legal industry who are, increasingly, receiving a mandate to manage all business operations, to be active participants in the development and implementation of strategy and, in some cases, to leverage best-in-class business concepts to the practice of law.

The other significant change during this period, and continuing, is that law firms are adopting digital technologies to manage data. When data is in a digital format, it becomes easier to track, access, and retrieve. Such availability enables those managing the business of law and the practice of law to identify and analyze the data to gain insights and make better decisions. However, having such availability does not necessarily mean that those managers will know how to use the data to gain the insights and make better decisions based on it. This know-how needs to be learned and there needs to be a reason to want to learn it.

A lot has been written recently about the dichotomy in law firms between the practice of law and the business of law.¹ In this scenario, the COO is primarily responsible for all the overall operations of the firm in support of the practice of law, as well as the firm. The COO also works with firm leadership in developing business planning and budgeting and, in many firms, a strategic plan. Obviously, the lawyers are responsible for the practice of law. However, to the extent the firm has embraced practice management, including strategic and business planning, for their legal practices, the COO generally has little direct involvement and oversight. There are several reasons for this, and change is likely to come slowly.

Most lawyers still believe that the legal industry is fundamentally different to any other industry. Among other reasons for this belief is that, for the most part, the legal industry has thrived over the last 50-60 years with relatively few bumps in the road compared to many other industries which have undergone transformative changes in just the last 20 years. Therefore, the proposition that law firms have to change their approach to managing their business in order to continue to prosper has been slow to gain traction. To better understand how the COO can leverage data and the corresponding analytics today, and where law firms should be going, it would be helpful to trace in broad strokes the evolution of the use of data and data analytics in law firms over the last several decades.

A focus on data in the legal industry essentially began when *The American Lawyer* started ranking the top 100 firms based on revenue, followed by the ranking of the second 100 firms. At this time, strategic planning basically consisted of increasing revenue and realization, while controlling costs, so that profitability would increase. The impact of publishing these rankings is that law firms started to be more introspective about the drivers of increasing revenue and profitability, improving operational efficiency, obtaining a return on investment in marketing and business development, and improving the customer experience. Hence the rise of COOs and other sophisticated talent in areas such as marketing and business development, finance, and technology. In addition, since rankings began publishing annually, the cost of legal advice increased every year and usually by considerably more than the rate of inflation. The increases in legal costs were driven largely by annual increases in billing rates, the globalization of business, and ever-increasing regulations. As a result, clients started to take notice and it became commonplace to hear commentators, as well as clients, declare that law firms are going to have to transform to be more like other businesses.² This tipping point was achieved because lawyers had priced themselves into the market, meaning that, up until that time, legal fees were

a negligible expense for most companies. But this trend of growing costs is only increasing which, in turn, will result in clients scrutinizing bills more carefully and assessing whether they are getting good value from their law firms.

The COO is the person best positioned to create a data-driven environment in a law firm, but also to develop policies for the creation, use, and dissemination of KPIs and the related reports. The policies are important so that the COO's direct reports can propose to the COO the KPIs and reports that will be meaningful to their respective functions, as well as to the COO and firm leadership. This is not to suggest that the COO should be directly responsible for initially developing the KPIs and the reporting. Rather, the COO should ensure that the direct reports are sufficiently familiar with the firm's strategic plan, if applicable, any business goals of their respective departments, and have access to the relevant data. Ultimately, however, the COO likely is the person ultimately responsible for effective KPIs and reporting so that they can be rolled up and utilized in developing the firm's strategic plan, annual business plan, and budget.

Using KPIs to track progress against strategic or business plans – to improve efficiency in operations and to look for trends – is imperative for today's law firms. Like with most things, too much of a good thing can become bad. The driver for using KPIs is the well-known adage that you can't manage what you don't measure. With so much more data readily available because of the digitization of data, there is a tendency to want to measure everything and create a KPI for it. The theory behind that approach is that once all the KPIs have been developed they will reveal the important take-aways. The better approach is to be intentional and disciplined in your measurement and reporting.

The power of KPIs for law firms

First, KPIs are measuring specific outcomes, not aspirational goals. "Increasing revenue this year" is not a KPI. "Increasing revenue in our transactional practice by ten percent this year" is a specific outcome that is both measurable and manageable.

KPIs can and should cover financial and non-financial aspects of both the business of law and the practice of law. In developing policies for the creation, use, and dissemination of KPIs, the COO should also consider the culture of the firm, the strategy of the firm, the business plans for practice groups, and the type of billing structures used in the firm.

KPIs are useful and arguably essential to preparing the narratives necessary for the recipients to convey how the firm or a department is

performing. KPIs are also useful in conveying the firm's vision and strategies. The audiences can be as varied as the partners, the firm as a whole, prospects for both the business of law and the practice of law, and possibly clients and prospective clients.

The starting point for choosing which performance indicators are key depends on the audience.

- For the senior leadership of the law firm, the KPIs should be those that senior leadership uses to manage the firm, both strategically and tactically (such as its annual business plan or budget).
- For practice group leaders, the KPIs should be those that the leaders use to measure whether the practice group is meeting its annual business plan goals.
- For the heads of the business departments (e.g., HR and marketing), the KPIs should be those that the heads of the department use to achieve operational excellence or otherwise to support the strategic goals of the firm or the individual practice groups.

KPIs must relate to what you are trying to achieve in your business overall. While they may be related to a specific function like HR or marketing, every key performance indicator should tie directly back to the firm's overall business goals.

What makes KPIs effective for law firms that have already started or are just beginning down the path of using data to measure performance against objectives and goals, is adopting sound business practices around the use of data. The most effective KPIs follow the proven SMART formula: Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-Bound.³ This means that the goal and the KPI are in sync. Here is an example. A law firm's senior leadership sets a goal to increase annual profits per partner by the annual inflation rate plus ten percent. The related KPI would measure, as of any date (e.g., quarter-end), the profits per partner from the beginning of the year to such date. Additionally, these measurements are most effective when both the stakeholders and the people/functions being held accountable for KPIs work together to determine the best way to measure performance relative to firm/practice/department goals. Top-down KPI development carries a risk of missing important insight on what can drive performance to a particular goal.

Essentially there are two types of KPIs, namely lagging and leading. Generally, it is ideal to have a mix to establish proper relativity.

- Lagging indicators are easy to measure and provide quick answers about whether a goal is being met. C-level executives often use lagging indicators as a baseline for setting ambitious goals. For law firms, the most important lagging indicators are those that relate to revenue and profitability and get published by *The American Lawyer*, such as revenue per attorney, profits per partner, profits per capital or equity partner and leverage ratios such as associates to partners (both capital and income), and associates and income partners to capital partners.
- Leading indicators capture data that has an effect on an outcome, which makes them useful for predicting or anticipating an output. It is critical to the effective use of leading indicators that they be related to the lagging indicators. Lagging indicators tell you what has been done, but they do not tell you what you should change to do better. Leading indicators measure the things that affect your outcomes. When you track and monitor them, you can take action to make improvements.

The following is an example as to how a lagging KPI is tied to a strategic goal and a leading KPI is related to the lagging KPI. Law Firm Y desires to increase the number of new matters of its top 25 clients by ten percent on a rolling annualized basis. The lagging KPI as of each month end is the number of new matters opened during the immediately preceding 12-month period divided by the number of new matters opened during the 12-month period prior to such period. A related leading KPI could be the number of personal business development interactions (e.g., phone or video calls and in-person meetings) during the immediately preceding 12-month period divided by the number of personal business development interactions during the 12-month period prior to such period. Whenever you have a strong correlation between a select number of leading and lagging KPIs, you can see which leading KPIs will have the biggest impact on your lagging KPIs. But not every lagging or leading indicator should be a KPI. So how can you make the right choices? Start with what you are trying to achieve and work backwards.

What are the right number of KPIs?

Law firms have mounds of data and an increasing variety of tools that enable them to measure almost anything and everything. The chief operating officer, through policy and procedure, needs to avoid analytical

overload by focusing on only the most impactful measures. Providing multiple performance measures without explaining how they are key to managing operations will not result in the better decisions and improved performance for which these tools were designed. The KPIs you select are a function of your audience within the law firm ecosystem and the strategy or business objective sought to be achieved. It is therefore impossible to specify how many KPIs a firm or a business unit or practice group should have. Five or six KPIs are typical. It could be fewer, such as three or four, but generally there should not be more than ten or 12 at play.

Presentation of KPIs – dashboards and scorecards

Having KPIs and getting the most out of them requires that the KPIs and any other performance metrics are presented in way that can be quickly and universally understood. In part, this will be a function of the number of KPIs and metrics, but it also requires the use of an effective presentation style, which includes concise description and graphics. The COO should ensure that the appropriate analytics or business intelligence (BI) tools are available to the intended audience(s) so they can review real-time interactive visualizations, dashboards, and/or scorecards based on the KPIs, allowing those with access to explore and analyze the data behind the KPIs directly within the dashboard itself. Some firms that have developed a data-driven culture have invested in creating their own proprietary BI tools, but this is not necessary as there are a variety of BI tools on the market, many of which are customizable.

Changes in KPIs

In formulating a KPI policy, the COO should bear in mind Helmuth von Moltke's famous quote about planning, "*No battle plan ever survives contact with the enemy*". Your initial (or existing) set of KPIs may not be able to meet a changing market, shifting client demands, or intense competition. The good news is your choice of key performance indicators is not set in stone for all time. KPIs, like everything else in business, are subject to change. Over time, client demands will change. Strategies and business goals will evolve in response to market volatility. The audience(s) for the KPIs may discover that a KPI is not effective in measuring progress or assisting in making good decisions or may result in counterproductive decisions. Therefore, it is best practice to develop a policy and procedure that requires periodic review of the KPIs and their effectiveness.

Follow these steps to keep your KPIs on track as your market, legal and industry practice groups, client mix, and strategy evolve:

- Schedule periodic reviews.
- Ask the right questions:
 - Are there fundamental changes happening?
 - Are there clear lines of accountability for every single KPI?
 - Are your leading and lagging KPIs properly related?
 - Are the personnel responsible for the KPIs keeping them current and accurate?
 - Have any of the strategic or business goals underlying the KPIs changed?
 - Are the KPIs proving to be valuable tools to measure progress?
- Update your KPIs and publicize all revisions:
 - With the information gathered above, revise or reinvent KPIs as appropriate.
 - Establish clear next steps to link KPI insights to action.
 - Inform all stakeholders of all updates.

The need for accurate and clean data

In order to have reliable KPIs and effective BI tools, the data has to be complete and accurate. This is one of the threshold challenges for many law firms. They may have accounting and other software that is not compatible with the latest technologies and BI tools. The fields used to collect data are incomplete or insufficient for purposes of the KPIs being proposed. Alternatively, the data was not historically collected or it was collected with other data that is difficult to parse. Accurately tracking KPIs requires accurate data. A COO will have to use internal or external resources to get the firm's data harmonized from multiple sources across the firm to achieve complete, accurate, and up-to-date information. This can be a time-consuming and expensive process. Careful attention should be paid to make the business case so that an adequate budget can be obtained and timing expectations realistically set and managed.

Create a data-driven culture

Before a COO embarks on introducing the use of KPIs or undertaking a material increase in the use of new KPIs, it is important to do a reality check about how they will be received. Every COO should ask: Will the stakeholders understand what the KPIs mean? How they are to be used? Is the BI software effectively presenting the information? Chances are that the

answer is no – and that’s normal. Boosting data literacy has risen to the top of the priority list for so many COOs as a result. The clearer people are on what the numbers mean, the more empowered – and inspired – they will be to focus on work that makes the biggest impact.

For some chief operating officers there may be cultural issues that may impede the development of a data-driven culture. One common issue surrounds how transparent the firm is with certain information. In many firms, only the most senior leadership has access to data that needs to be used in KPIs that would assist C-suite executives and the heads of practice groups in day-to-day operations and planning. Another cultural issue is compensation and the basis for setting compensation, including bonuses. The use of KPIs may provide insights into profitability and operations that are not consistent with the metrics then being used to determine compensation, both for attorneys, especially the partners, and the business professionals. For example, in many firms, a rainmaking partner’s book of business (i.e., collected fees) is the primary driver for compensation. KPIs can be used to compare the profitability of practice groups, as well as the books of business of rainmaking partners, by considering the costs incurred to obtain that revenue. A firm may not want to alter its compensation policy to take into account those KPIs for a variety of reasons, and limit the use of the insights derived from those KPIs for strategic planning purposes. Nonetheless, the availability of such KPIs, if widely known, could create some discomfort.

How can you boost data literacy to support your KPI strategy?

There are two essential elements to boosting data literacy. The first is to have a program that is used to get commitment and not just buy-in. The second is to have the technology.

The program supporting data literacy has to be comprehensive. Of course, there has to be presentations and policies, but a program involves having a continuous process that explains and reinforces the purpose of collecting the data in the prescribed manner, how and why the KPIs have been created, and how the data, KPIs, and other metrics can positively impact the business. Also, as noted above, the program should include the process whereby KPIs are evaluated. Finally, the program should include training and encourage input at the outset and beyond. When people are involved in the how and why, they are more likely to take pride and ownership and feel valued, as well as adding value by being closest to the data.

Unless the KPIs are properly presented in dashboards and scorecards, their usefulness will be severely limited. That is the reason to have good BI

technology. BI platforms have become much more robust and economical in recent years. It is recommended that a firm invest in a platform that is flexible and expandable as it increases its usage of BI and data analytics to include augmented analytics, statistical analysis and machine learning. A good BI platform should be useful to beginners as well as to advanced users so as to increase data literacy for everyone in the firm.

The future of data analytics in the legal industry

Data analytics is generally divided into four broad categories, namely:

1. *“Descriptive analytics, which provides an objective, fact-based description of what has happened in the past, i.e. ‘A’ occurred.*
2. *Diagnostic analytics, which not only focuses on what happened in the past but aims to understand why, i.e. ‘A’ occurred because ‘B’.*
3. *Predictive analytics, which uses past data to forecast trends, i.e., because ‘A’ occurred, we predict that ‘C’ will occur in the future.*
4. *Prescriptive analytics, which aims to provide actionable steps towards a chosen goal, i.e., to achieve goal X, we must take action Y.”⁴*

The above has largely been about the first two of the four types of data analytics. Many industries are well on their way to using the last two types of data analytics to improve their business. The future use of data in law firms will involve the use of artificial intelligence and machine learning in the practice of law. Examples of such uses may be to determine:

- Which issues in contracts are most important and should be included and which are not worth the time to negotiate and perhaps should be excluded;
- Which types of claims and defenses are most likely to lead to success and therefore to focus on those and not bother spending legal costs on the others;
- How to price legal services for a client, particularly when there are portfolios of transactions or cases to handle; and
- Trends in types of clients and the types of matters and how to recruit to staff for them in the future (e.g., the mix of partners, associates, legal assistants, their respective areas of expertise, and where located).

Annex: list of possible KPIs and metrics

Human resources and talent acquisition

- Lawyer attrition – attorneys who have left since the start of the period to number of attorneys.
 - Can be categorized by class (e.g., partners and associates), department or location.
 - Can be categorized by status when joined the firm (e.g., summer associate, lateral associate, lateral partner).
- Staff attrition – staff who have left since the start of the period.
 - Can be categorized by level (e.g., managers, directors), department or location.
- DE&I distribution for new hires.
- Hiring targets based on new market/client initiatives.
- New hire SWAG YTD spend to budget.

Marketing

- Client growth rate: new clients over a period to number of active clients.
- Average fee per new client.
- Average fee per new matter.
- Marketing budget ratio – marketing spend to total fees billed.
- Business development ratio – ratio of business development spend to total fees billed.
- Customer Acquisition Cost – total marketing and business development to total clients billed.
- Client retention – percentage of active long-lived clients to all active clients (by number and by billings).
- Growth in billings of top X clients.
- Dormant client percentage.

Business development

- Total number of clients contacted by phone or video to total active clients.
- Participation in virtual meetings.

- Proposal win rate – top X clients.
- Proposal win rate – new clients.
- Percent of clients that have opened new business with other practices (cross-selling).

Productivity (firm-wide and by department or practice group)

- Leverage – associates to all partners and associates plus income partners to capital partners.
- Percentage of partners hours to total hours.
- Billable hours per FTE per timekeeper category.
- Billings per FTE per timekeeper category.
- Average worked rate per timekeeper category – total gross time divided by total hours.
- Average billed to average worked rate – per timekeeper category.
- Staffing (total and by department) to number of timekeepers.
- Number of lawyers per client.
- Hours billed versus hours worked per timekeeper category.
- Hours worked versus available hours per timekeeper category.
- Number of matters opened compared to prior period.
- Average fees billed per active clients.
- Average fees billed per matter.

Financial (firm-wide and by department or practice group)

- Cost recovery revenue per matter – total amount of internal costs billed to clients divided by total number of matters billed.
- Revenue per square foot.
- Revenue per active clients.
- Revenue per top X active clients.
- Revenue per matter.
- Revenue per employee – ratio of all fees billed by FTEs other than capital partners.
- Charge off percentage – write-offs versus time billed and write-offs versus time worked.

- Net income ratio – net income prior to distribution to capital partners divided by all fee billings.
- Average net overhead – net expenses divided by FTE capital partners.
- Cash collected per period.
- Average days of time worked to billed.
- Average days of time billed to collected.

References

- 1 “The Changing Role of Law Firm Leadership,” by Jennifer Hill, ALA White Paper (2019); “Law is a Profession and an Industry – It should be regulated that way,” by Mark A Cohen, Forbes (29 March 2018).
- 2 *American Law Firms in Transition: Trends, Threats and Strategies*, by Randall Kiser (2019).
- 3 “There’s a S.M.A.R.T. way to write management’s goals and objectives,” by George T. Doran, AMA Forum (November 1981). See also *The Practice of Management*, Peter F. Drucker (1954).
- 4 <https://careerfoundry.com/en/blog/data-analytics/business-intelligence-vs-data-analytics/>

In the five years since the first edition of this book published, there has been an accelerated rise in the number and influence of COO roles in the legal sphere. No longer the preserve of the largest national and international firms, mid-tier firms and even New Law and alternative legal service providers are considering a COO as a potential – perhaps even essential – component of law firm management, to achieve increased efficiency, productivity, and meet the demands of a highly competitive market.

With contributions from a number of current law firm COOs, alongside some of the most respected and sought-after consultants working in this space, this second edition of *Rise of the Legal COO* examines the scope and variety of the legal COO role, and how the challenges and demands of the position have altered as law firms have evolved. It contains updated chapters from the first edition, and several brand new chapters covering topics such as: How the COO can enable innovation and digital transformation in their firm; The COO's role in managing profitability and client engagement; The use of data in law firm management; and The New Law COO.

There are also all-new, exclusive interviews with legal COOs from a variety of national and international firms, covering topics ranging from the importance of relationships and adapting to the new hybrid, post-COVID world, to encouraging innovation in firms and strategies to recruit and retain talent.

There is no doubt that a good COO is an invaluable part of a firm's management team, and the opportunities for talented individuals with broad operational management skills will continue to grow. Heavily backed up by the first-hand experience of the contributors, this title provides essential guidance to the current and future legal COO on the skills and strategies they need to succeed, and to law firms on how to recruit, integrate, and develop a COO who will be a good match for their culture and help them achieve their ambitions.



www.globelawandbusiness.com

